Top-Down Parsing

1

Recursive Descent Parser

 Consider the grammar: $S \rightarrow c$ A d $\overline{A \rightarrow ab}$ a

The input string is "*cad*"

▶ Build parse tree: step 1. From start symbol.

 Step 2. We expand A using the first alternative $A \rightarrow ab$ to obtain the following tree:

 \triangleright Now, we have a match for the second input symbol "a", so we advance the input pointer to "d", the third input symbol, and compare d against the next leaf "b".

> Backtracking

- Since "b" does not match "d", we report failure and go back to A to see whether there is another alternative for A that has not been tried - that might produce a match!
- In going back to A, we must reset the input pointer to "a".

Creating a top-down parser

 \triangleright Top-down parsing can be viewed as the problem of constructing a parse tree for the input string, starting form the root and creating the nodes of the parse tree in preorder.

 \triangleright An example follows.

Creating a top-down parser (Cont.)

 \triangleright Given the grammar :

- \bullet $E \rightarrow TE'$
- \bullet E' \rightarrow +TE' | λ
- \bullet T \rightarrow FT'
- \bullet $\overline{\mathsf{T}}$ ' \rightarrow *FT' $|\overline{\mathsf{\lambda}}|$
- \bullet $F \rightarrow (E)$ | id

 \triangleright The input: id + id * id

Creating a top-down parser (Cont.)

9

 A top-down parsing program consists of a set of procedures, one for each non-terminal.

 \triangleright Execution begins with the procedure for the start symbol, which halts and announces success if its procedure body scans the entire input string.

A typical procedure for non-terminal A in a top-down parser:

```
boolean A() {
choose an A-production, A \rightarrow X1 X2 ... X_k;
    for (i= 1 to k) {
        if (Xi is a non-terminal)
           call procedure Xi();
       else if (Xi matches the current input token "a")
           advance the input to the next token;
       else /* an error has occurred */;
```
 $\left\{\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right\}$

 $\begin{matrix} \end{matrix}$

Given a grammar:

 $input \rightarrow expression$ $expression \rightarrow term rest$ expression term \rightarrow ID | parenthesized expression parenthesized expression \rightarrow '(' expression ')' rest_expression → '+' expression | λ

For example: input:

$ID + (ID + ID)$

Top-down parsing Build parse tree: start from start symbol to invoke: int input (void) input expression \$

Next, invoke expression()

Next, invoke term()

LL(1) Parsers

 \triangleright The class of grammars for which we can construct predictive parsers looking **k symbols ahead** in the input is called the LL(k) class.

 \triangleright Predictive parsers, that is, recursive-descent parsers without backtracking, can be constructed for the LL(1) class grammars.

▶ The first "L" stands for scanning input from left to right. The second "L" for producing a leftmost derivation. The "1" for using one input symbol of look-ahead at each step to make parsing decisions.

 $A \rightarrow \alpha \mid \beta$ are two distinct productions of grammar G, G is LL(1) if the following 3 conditions hold:

1. FIRST(α) cannot contain any terminal in FIRST(β). 2. At most one of α and β can derive λ. 3. if $\beta \rightarrow^* \lambda$, FIRST(α) cannot contain any terminal in FOLLOW(A). if $\alpha \rightarrow^* \lambda$, FIRST(β) cannot contain any terminal in FOLLOW(A).

Nullability

A nonterminal *A* is *nullable* if

 $A \Rightarrow^* \varepsilon$.

- Clearly, *A* is nullable if it has a production $A \rightarrow \varepsilon$.
- **► But A is also nullable if there are, for example,** productions

 $A \rightarrow BC$. $B \rightarrow A \mid aC \mid \varepsilon$. \overline{C} \rightarrow $\overline{a}B$ $\overline{\big|C}b\big|$ ε .

Nullability

 In other words, *A* is nullable if there is a production

 $A \rightarrow \varepsilon$.

or there is a production $A \rightarrow B_1B_2...B_n$ where B_1 , B_2 , ..., B_n are nullable.

Nullability

 \triangleright In the grammar $E \rightarrow TE'$ $E' \rightarrow + \mathcal{T} E' | \varepsilon$. $T \rightarrow F T'$ $T' \rightarrow$ * \in T' \mid ε . $F \rightarrow (E)$ | **id** | **num** *E'* and *T'* are nullable. *E*, *T*, and *F* are not nullable.

Summary

FIRST and FOLLOW

- Given a grammar *G*, we may define the functions FIRST and FOLLOW on the strings of symbols of *G*.
	- FIRST(α) is the set of all terminals that may appear as the *first* symbol in a replacement string of α .
	- FOLLOW(α) is the set of all terminals that may *follow* α in a derivation.

FIRST

 For a grammar symbol *X*, FIRST(*X*) is defined as follows.

- For every terminal X , $FIRST(X) = \{X\}$.
- For every nonterminal *X*, if $X \rightarrow Y_1 Y_2 ... Y_n$ is a production, then
	- \textdegree FIRST(*Y*₁) \subseteq FIRST(*X*).
	- Furthermore, if $Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_k$ are nullable, then $FIRST(Y_{k+1}) \subseteq FIRST(X)$.

FIRST

 We are concerned with FIRST(*X*) only for the nonterminals of the grammar. \triangleright FIRST(*X*) for terminals is trivial. \triangleright According to the definition, to determine FIRST(*A*), we must inspect all productions that have *A* on the *left*.

 \triangleright Let the grammar be $E \rightarrow TE'$ $E' \rightarrow + \mathcal{T} E' | \varepsilon$. $T \rightarrow F T'$ $T' \rightarrow$ * $F T' \mid \varepsilon$. $F \rightarrow (E)$ | **id** | **num**

Find FIRST(*E*).

- *E* occurs on the left in only one production $E \rightarrow TE'$.
- Therefore, $FIRST(T) \subseteq FIRST(E)$.
- Furthermore, *T* is not nullable.
- Therefore, $FIRST(E) = FIRST(T)$.
- We have yet to determine FIRST(*T*).

\triangleright Find FIRST(*T*).

- *T* occurs on the left in only one production $T \rightarrow F T'$.
- Therefore, $FIRST(F) \subseteq FIRST(T)$.
- Furthermore, *F* is not nullable.
- Therefore, $FIRST(T) = FIRST(F)$.
- We have yet to determine FIRST(*F*).

 Find FIRST(*F*). \cdot FIRST(F) = {(, id, num}. \triangleright Therefore, \bullet FIRST(*E*) = {(, **id**, **num**}. \bullet FIRST(*T*) = {(, **id**, **num**}.

 Find FIRST(*E'*). \bullet FIRST(*E*^{\prime}) = {+}. Find FIRST(*T'*). \bullet FIRST(*T*) = {*}.

FOLLOW

- For a grammar symbol *X*, FOLLOW(*X*) is defined as follows.
	- If S is the start symbol, then $\mathcal{S} \in \mathsf{FOLLOW}(S)$.
	- If $A \rightarrow \alpha B\beta$ is a production, then FIRST(β) \subset FOLLOW(*B*).
	- If $A \rightarrow \alpha B$ is a production, or $A \rightarrow \alpha B\beta$ is a production and β is nullable, then FOLLOW(A) \subset FOLLOW(*B*).

FOLLOW

 We are concerned about FOLLOW(*X*) only for the nonterminals of the grammar. \triangleright According to the definition, to determine FOLLOW(*A*), we must inspect all productions that have *A* on the *right*.

 \triangleright Let the grammar be $E \rightarrow TE'$ $E' \rightarrow + \mathcal{T} E' | \varepsilon$. $T \rightarrow F T'$ $T' \rightarrow$ * $F T' \mid \varepsilon$. $F \rightarrow (E)$ | **id** | **num**

Find FOLLOW(*E*).

- E is the start symbol, therefore $\text{\$} \in$ FOLLOW(*E*).
- *E* occurs on the right in only one production. $F \rightarrow (E)$.
- Therefore $FOLLOW(E) = \{\$\, , \,\}$.

 Find FOLLOW(*E'*). *E'* occurs on the right in two productions. $E \rightarrow TE'$ $E' \rightarrow + \mathcal{T} E'$. Therefore, $FOLLOW(E) = FOLLOW(E) = \{\$$,)}.

Find FOLLOW(*T*).

- T occurs on the right in two productions.
	- $E \rightarrow TE'$

 $E' \rightarrow + \mathcal{T} E'$.

 Therefore, FOLLOW(*T*) contains FIRST(*E'*) = $\{+\}.$

 However, *E'* is nullable, therefore it also contains $FOLLOW(E) = \{\$, \}$ and $FOLLOW(E) = \{\$,\}$.

Therefore, $FOLLOW(T) = \{+, \$, \}.$

 Find FOLLOW(*T'*). *T'* occurs on the right in two productions. $T \rightarrow F T'$ $\overline{T'} \rightarrow$ * \overline{F} $\overline{T'}$. Therefore, $FOLLOW(T) = FOLLOW(T) = \{\$, \},$ +}.

Find FOLLOW(*F*).

• Foccurs on the right in two productions.

$T \rightarrow F T'$

 $\overline{T'} \rightarrow$ * \overline{F} $\overline{T'}$.

 Therefore, FOLLOW(*F*) contains FIRST(*T'*) = {*}.

 However, *T'* is nullable, therefore it also contains $FOLLOW(T) = \{+, \, \$, \, \}$ and $FOLLOW(T) = \{\$, \}, +\}.$

• Therefore, $FOLLOW(F) = \{*, \$,), +\}.$

 \triangleright The grammar $R \rightarrow R \cup R$ | RR | R^* | (R) | a | b generates all regular expressions on the alphabet {**a**, **b**}. **Example 10 Feature 10 F** previous lecture, find FIRST(*X*) and FOLLOW(*X*) for each nonterminal *X* in the grammar.

Construction of a predictive parsing table

 \triangleright The following rules are used to construct the predictive parsing table: • 1. for each terminal a in $FIRST(\alpha)$,

• 2. if λ is in FIRST(α), then for each terminal b in FOLLOW(A), add $A \rightarrow \alpha$ to matrix M[A,b]

Given the grammar: $input \rightarrow expression$ $expression \rightarrow term rest expression$ 2 term \rightarrow ID 3 | parenthesized_expression 4 parenthesized expression \rightarrow '(' expression ')' 5 rest_expression \rightarrow '+' expression 6 \mathcal{A} , and the contract of \mathcal{A} , and the contract of \mathcal{A} , and the contract of \mathcal{A}

Build the parsing table.

 $\mathcal{F}(\mathsf{ex} \mathsf{in} \mathsf{ex} \mathsf{in} \mathsf{ex} \mathsf{in} \mathsf{$ FOLLOW (term) = FOLLOW (parenthesized expression) = $\{\$ '+' '\}$ FOLLOW (rest_expression) $= {$

Model of a table-driven predictive parser

Predictive parsing algorithm

Set input pointer (ip) to the first token a; Push \$ and start symbol to the stack. Set X to the top stack symbol; while $(X != $)$ { /*stack is not empty*/ if (X is token a) pop the stack and advance ip; else if (X is another token) error(); else if (M[X,a] is an error entry) error(); else if $(M[X,a] = X \rightarrow Y_1Y_2...Y_k)$ { output the production $X \rightarrow Y_1 Y_2 ... Y_k$; pop the stack; $/$ /* pop X $^*/$ /* leftmost derivation*/ } set X to the top stack symbol Y1;

\triangleright Given the grammar:

- \bullet $\mathsf{E} \rightarrow \mathsf{TE}'$ 1
- \bullet E' \rightarrow +TE' 2
- \bullet E' $\rightarrow \lambda$ 3
- \bullet T \rightarrow FT' 4
- \bullet T' \rightarrow *FT' 5
- \bullet T' $\rightarrow \lambda$ 6
- \bullet F \rightarrow (E) 7
- \bullet F \rightarrow id $\qquad \qquad$ 8

$FOLLOW(E) = FOLLOW(E') = \{) ,$ $FOLLOW(T) = FOLLOW(T') = \{+, \, \rangle \, , \, \$ \}$ FOLLOW(F) $= {\{ +, * , }$, $= {\{ +, * , }$

LL(1) Parsers (Cont.) $FIRST(F) = FIRST(T) = FIRST(E) = { (, id)}$ $FIRST(E')$ = {+, λ } $FIRST(T')$ $= \{ * , \lambda \}$

54

Common Prefix

In Fig. 5.12(see the next slide), the common prefix: if Expr then StmtList (R1,R2) makes looking ahead to distinguish R1 from R2 hard.

Just use Fig. 5.13(see the next slide) to factor it and "var"(R5,6) The resulting grammar is in Fig. 5.14.

```
1 Stmt \rightarrow if Expr then StmtList endif
      | if Expr then StmtList else StmtList endif
3 StmtList \rightarrow StmtList; Stmt
4
  Stmt
5 Expr \rightarrow var + Expr
6
             var
```
 (13)

Figure 5.12: A grammar with common prefixes.

```
procedure F
                  \left( \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \right)foreach A \in N do
         \alpha \leftarrow LongestCommonPrefix(ProductionsFor(A))
         while |\alpha| > 0 do
              V \leftarrow new NonTerminal()
              Productions \leftarrow Productions \cup {A \rightarrow \alpha V }
              foreach p \in ProductionsFor(A) | RHS(p) = \alpha \beta_p do
                   Productions \leftarrow Productions -\{p\}Productions \leftarrow Productions \cup { V \rightarrow \beta_p }
              \alpha \leftarrow Longest Common Pre fix (Productions For (A))
```
end

Figure 5.13: Factoring common prefixes.

1 Stmt \rightarrow if Expr then StmtList V₁ 2 V_1 \rightarrow endif 3 I else StmtList endif 4 StmtList \rightarrow StmtList; Stmt 5 l Stmt 6 Expr \rightarrow var V_2 7 $V_2 \rightarrow +$ Expr 8 $\perp \lambda$

Figure 5.14: Factored version of the grammar in Figure 5.12.

```
procedure E
                         L R
                                                \left( \right)foreach A \in N do
         if \exists r \in ProductionsFor(A) |RHS(r) = A\alphathen
             X \leftarrow new NonTerminal()Y \leftarrow new NonTerminal()foreach p \in ProductionsFor(A) do
                  if p = rthen Productions \leftarrow Productions \cup {A \rightarrow X Y}
                  else Productions \leftarrow Productions \cup {X\rightarrowRHS(p)}
             Productions \leftarrow Productions \cup { Y \rightarrow \alpha Y, Y \rightarrow \lambda }
```
end

Figure 5.15: Eliminating left recursion.

Left Recursion

 A production is **left recursive** if its LHS symbol is the first symbol of its RHS.

 \triangleright In fig. 5.14, the production StmtList→ StmtList ; Stmt StmtList is left-recursion.

1 Stmt \rightarrow if Expr then StmtList V₁ 2 $V_1 \rightarrow$ endif | else StmtList endif 3 4 StmtList \rightarrow StmtList; Stmt 5 ∣ Stmt 6 Expr \rightarrow var V₂ 7 $V_2 \rightarrow + \text{Expr}$ 8 $\perp \lambda$

Figure 5.14: Factored version of the grammar in Figure 5.12.

 Grammars with left-recursive productions can never be LL(1).

 Some look-ahead symbol t predicts the application of the left-recursive production

 $A \rightarrow AB$.

 with **recursive-descent parsing**, the application of this production will cause procedure A to be invoked infinitely. Thus, we must eliminate left-recursion.

Left Recursion (Cont.) Consider the following left-recursive rules. 1. $A \rightarrow A \alpha$ 2. β the rules produce strings like $\beta \alpha \alpha$ we can change the grammar to: 1. $A \rightarrow XY$ $2. X \rightarrow B$ 3. $Y \rightarrow \alpha Y$ 4. $|\lambda|$ the rules also produce strings like $\beta \alpha \alpha$

The EliminateLeftRecursion algorithm is shown in fig. 5.15. Applying it to the grammar in fig. 5.14 results in fig. 5.16.

```
procedure ELIMINATELEFTRECURSION()
    foreach A \in N do
        if \exists r \in ProductionsFor(A) | RHS(r) = A\alphathen
            X \leftarrow new NonTerminal()
            Y \leftarrow new NonTerminal()foreach p \in ProductionsFor(A) do
                 if p = rthen Productions \leftarrow Productions \cup {A \rightarrow X Y }
                 else Products(\mathbf{p}) + Products(\mathbf{p})Productions \leftarrow Productions \cup { Y \rightarrow \alpha Y, Y \rightarrow \lambda }
```
end

Figure 5.15: Eliminating left recursion.

1

2

3

4

5

Now, we trace the algorithm with the grammar below: (4) StmtList \rightarrow StmtList ; Stmt (5) | Stmt

first, the input is (4) StmtList \rightarrow StmtList ; Stmt because $RHS(4)$ = StmtList α it is left-recursive (marker 1) create two non-terminals X, and Y for rule (4) and the contract of the contract as StmtList = StmtList, create StmtList $\rightarrow XY$ (marker 3) for rule (5) (marker 2) as StmtList != Stmt create $X \rightarrow$ Stmt (marker 4) finally, create Y \rightarrow ; Stmt and Y $\rightarrow \lambda$ (marker 5)

1 Stmt \rightarrow if Expr then StmtList V₁ 2 $V_1 \rightarrow$ endif 3 | else StmtList endif 4 StmtList \rightarrow X Y $5 X \rightarrow$ Stmt 6 Y \rightarrow ; Stmt Y 7 $|\lambda$ 8 Expr \rightarrow var V₂ 9 V_2 \rightarrow + Expr 10 λ

Figure 5.16: LL(1) version of the grammar in Figure 5.14.

Thank you