## Semantic Analysis

## Semantic Analysis

#### Lexical analysis

- Detects inputs with illegal tokens
	- e.g.: main\$ ();
- $\triangleright$  Syntactic analysis
	- Detects inputs with ill-formed parse trees
		- e.g.: missing semicolons

#### $\triangleright$  Semantic analysis

- Last "front end" analysis phase
- Catches all remaining errors



## Beyond Syntax

#### **What's wrong with this code?**

*(Note: it parses perfectly)*

```
foo(int a, char * s){ … }
int bar() {
   int f[3];
   int i, j, k;
   char *p;
   float k;
   foo(f[6], 10, j); 
   break;
   i->val = 5;
  \dot{7} = i + k; printf("%s,%s.\n",p,q);
   goto label23;
}
```
## Beyond Syntax

#### **What's wrong with this code?**

*(Note: it parses perfectly)*

```
foo(int a, char * s){ … }
int bar() {
   int f[3];
   int i, j, k;
   char *p;
   float k;
  foo(f[6]', 10, j); break;
  i-\text{val} = 5;
  \dot{7} = i + k; printf("%s,%s.\n",p,q);
   goto label23;
}
                   f[6] will 
                    cause a run-
                   time failure
```
# Goals of a Semantic Analyzer

 $\triangleright$  Compiler must do more than recognize whether a sentence belongs to the language…

• Find remaining errors that would make program invalid

- undefined variables, types
- type errors that can be caught statically
- Figure out useful information for later phases
	- types of all expressions
	- data layout
- **Terminology**
- Static checks done by the compiler
- Dynamic checks done at run time

## Kinds of Checks

#### Uniqueness checks

- Certain names must be unique
- Many languages require variable declarations

### Flow-of-control checks

- Match control-flow operators with structures
- Example: break applies to innermost loop/switch

### Type checks

Check compatibility of operators and operands

#### **Logical checks**

 Program is syntactically and semantically correct, but does not do the "correct" thing

## Examples of Reported Errors

- Undeclared identifier
- Multiply declared identifier
- Index out of bounds
- Wrong number or types of args to call
- Incompatible types for operation
- Break statement outside switch/loop
- Goto with no label

## Program Checking

#### *Why do we care?*

#### Obvious:

• Report mistakes to programmer Avoid bugs: *f[6] will cause a run-time failure* • Help programmer verify intent

#### $\triangleright$  How do these checks help compilers?

- Allocate right amount of space for variables
- Select right machine operations
- Proper implementation of control structures

Can We Catch Everything? • Try compiling this code:  $\triangleright$  void main ()  $\triangleright$  {  $\triangleright$  int i=21, j=42; printf("Hello World\n"); printf("Hello World, N=%d\n"); printf("Hello World\n", i, j); printf("Hello World, N=%d\n"); printf("Hello World, N=%d\n");  $>$  }

# Inlined TypeChecker and **CodeGen**

 You could type check and generate code as part of semantic actions:

> expr : expr PLUS expr {  $\triangleright$  if (\$1.type == \$3.type &&  $\triangleright$  (\$1.type == IntType ||  $\triangleright$  \$1.type == RealType)) \$\$.type = \$1.type  $\triangleright$  else error("+ applied on wrong type!");  $\triangleright$  GenerateAdd(\$1, \$3, \$\$);  $\leftarrow$   $\leftarrow$   $\leftarrow$   $\leftarrow$ 

## Problems

- Difficult to read
- Difficult to maintain
- Compiler must analyze program in order parsed

• Instead ... we split up tasks

## Compiler 'main program'

- void Compile() {
- $\triangleright$  AST tree = Parser(program);
- if (TypeCheck(tree))
- $>$  IR ir =

 $\rightarrow$ 

 $>$  }

> GenIntermedCode(tree); > EmitCode(ir);



# Typical Semantic Errors

- Multiple declarations: a variable should be declared (in the same scope) at most once
- Undeclared variable: a variable should not be used before being declared
- Type mismatch: type of the LHS of an assignment should match the type of the RHS
- Wrong arguments: methods should be called with the right number and types of arguments

# A Sample Semantic Analyzer

 $\triangleright$  Works in two phases – traverses the AST created by the parser

- 1. For each scope in the program
	- **process the declarations**
		- add new entries to the symbol table and
		- report any variables that are multiply declared
	- **process the statements** 
		- find uses of undeclared variables, and
		- update the "ID" nodes of the AST to point to the appropriate symbol-table entry.
- 2. Process all of the statements in the program again
	- . use the symbol-table information to determine the type of each expression, and to find type errors.



 $\triangleright$  In most languages, the same name can be declared multiple times

- if its declarations occur in different scopes, and/or
- involve different kinds of names
- $\triangleright$  Java: can use the same name for
	- a class
	- field of the class
	- a method of the class
	- a local variable of the method

```
class Test { 
     int Test; 
     void Test( ) { double Test; } 
 }
```
# Scoping: Overloading

#### Java and C++ (but not in Pascal or C):

- can use the same name for more than one method
- as long as the number and/or types of parameters are unique

```
int add(int a, int b);
float add(float a, float b);
```
# Scoping: General Rules

#### The scope rules of a language:

- Determine which declaration of a named object corresponds to each use of the object
- Scoping rules map uses of objects to their declarations
- C++ and Java use *static scoping*:
	- Mapping from uses to declarations at compile time
	- C++ uses the "most closely nested" rule
		- a use of variable **x** matches the declaration in the most closely enclosing scope
		- such that the declaration precedes the use

## Scope levels

- $\triangleright$  Each function has two or more scopes:
- One for the function body
	- Sometimes parameters are separate scope!
	- (Not true in C)
- void f( int k ) { // k is a parameter
- $\triangleright$  int k = 0;  $\binom{1}{x}$  also a local variable
- $\triangleright$  while (k) {
- $\triangleright$  int k = 1; // another local var, in a loop
- $\begin{picture}(20,10) \put(0,0){\vector(1,0){10}} \put(15,0){\vector(1,0){10}} \put(15,0){\vector(1$
- $\begin{matrix} \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \end{matrix}$
- Additional scopes in the function
	- each **for** loop and
	- each nested block (delimited by curly braces)

## Checkpoint #1

 **Match each use to its declaration, or say why it is a use of an undeclared variable.**

```
\triangleright int k=10, x=20;
 void foo(int k) { 
      int a = x; int x = k; int b = x;
      while (...) { 
          int x; 
         if (x == k) {
              int k, y; 
             k = y = x; } 
         if (x == k) { int x = y; }
       }
```
## Dynamic Scoping

**> Not all languages use static scoping**  Lisp, APL, and Snobol use *dynamic* scoping

#### $\triangleright$  Dynamic scoping:

• A use of a variable that has no corresponding declaration in the same function corresponds to the declaration in the **most-recently-called still active** function



#### $\triangleright$  For example, consider the following code:

 $\triangleright$  int i = 1; void func() { > cout << i << endl;  $\geq$  }  $\triangleright$  int main () {  $\triangleright$  int i = 2;  $\triangleright$   $\boxed{\text{func}}$  (); > return 0;  $>$  }

If C++ used dynamic scoping, this would print out 2, not 1

## Checkpoint #2

 Assuming that dynamic scoping is used, what is output by the following program?

**void main() { int x = 0; f1(); g(); f2(); }** 

**void f1() { int x = 10; g(); }** 

**void f2() { int x = 20; f1(); g(); }** 

**void g() { print(x); }** 

### Keeping Track  $\triangleright$  Need a way to keep track of all identifier types in scope





# Symbol Tables

- **▶ Purpose:** 
	- keep track of names declared in the program
- $\triangleright$  Symbol table entry:
	- associates a name with a set of **attributes**, e.g.:
		- **kind** of name (variable, class, field, method, …)
		- **type** (int, float, …)
		- **nesting** level
		- mem **location** (where will it be found at runtime)
- Functions:
- Type Lookup(String id)
- Void Add(String id, Type binding)
- Bindings: name type pairs  $\{a \rightarrow \text{string}, b \rightarrow \text{int}\}\$

## Environments

 $\sigma$ <sup>0</sup>

 $\triangleright$  Represents a set of mappings in the symbol table

 $\triangleright$  function f(a:int, b:int, c:int) = LOOKUP  $\triangleright$  ( print\_int(a+c);  $\triangleright$  let var j := a+b  $\triangleright$  var a := "hello"  $\triangleright$  in print(a); print\_int(j)  $\triangleright$  end;  $\triangleright$  print\_int(b)  $>$ )  $\sigma1 = \sigma0 + a \rightarrow int$  $\sigma$ 2 =  $\sigma$ 1 + j  $\rightarrow$  int in  $\sigma$ 1  $\sigma$ <sup>0</sup>  $\sigma$ <sup>1</sup>

# How Symbol Tables Work (1)

int x; char y;

void p(void) { double x;

 … { int y[10]; … } … }

void q(void) { int y; …

}

main() { char x;

…



# How Symbol Tables Work (2)

int x; char y;

void p(void) { double x;

> … { int y[10]; …

 … }

}

void q(void) { int y;

 … }

…

}

main() { char x;



# How Symbol Tables Work (3)

int x; char y;

…

…

}

}

void p(void) { double x;

 … { int y[10];

void q(void) { int y;

 … }

main() { char x;

…



# How Symbol Tables Work (4)

int x; char y;

void p(void) { double x;

 … { int y[10]; …

 … }

}

void q(void) { int y;

main() { char x;

…

}

…



# How Symbol Tables Work (5)

int x; char y;

void p(void) { double x;

 … { int y[10];

 … }

…

}

void q(void) { int y;

main() { char x;

…

}

…



# How Symbol Tables Work (6)



A Symbol Table Implementation **> Two structures: Hash table, Scope Stack** 

 $\triangleright$  Symbol = foo Hash(foo) = i Symbol table



## Enter/Exit Scope

 $\triangleright$  We also need a stack to keep track of the "nesting level" as we traverse the tree…



## Variables vs. Types

 Often, compilers maintain separate symbol tables for Types vs. Variables/Functions

Lecture Checkpoint:

**DELLA Scopes** 

 $\triangleright$   $\rightarrow$  Types



- $\triangleright$  What is a type?
	- The notion varies from language to language
- Consensus
	- A set of values
	- A set of operations allowed on those values
- **Example 2 Sections 2 Certain operations are legal for each type** 
	- It doesn't make sense to add a function pointer and an integer in C
	- It does make sense to add two integers
	- But both have the same assembly language implementation!

## Type Systems

 A language's type system specifies which operations are valid for which types

 $\triangleright$  The goal of type checking is to ensure that operations are used with the correct types Enforces intended interpretation of values

 Type systems provide a concise formalization of the semantic checking rules

# Why Do We Need Type Systems?

**Example 2 September 2 Septemb** 

#### $\triangleright$  addi \$r1, \$r2, \$r3

#### $\triangleright$  What are the types of  $$r1, $r2, $r3?$

# Type Checking Overview

### Four kinds of languages:

- **Statically typed**: All or almost all checking of types is done as part of compilation
- **Dynamically typed**: Almost all checking of types is done as part of program execution (no compiler) as in Perl, Ruby
- **Mixed Model** : Java
- **Untyped**: No type checking (machine code)

# Type Checking and Type **Inference**

- > Type Checking is the process of verifying fully typed programs
	- Given an operation and an operand of some type, determine whether the operation is allowed
- $\triangleright$  Type Inference is the process of filling in missing type information
	- Given the type of operands, determine
		- the meaning of the operation
		- the type of the operation
	- OR, without variable declarations, infer type from the way the variable is used
- The two are different, but are often used interchangeably

# Issues in Typing

**Does the language have a type system?** 

- Untyped languages (e.g. assembly) have no type system at all
- When is typing performed?
	- Static typing: At compile time
	- Dynamic typing: At runtime
- $\triangleright$  How strictly are the rules enforced?
	- Strongly typed: No exceptions
	- Weakly typed: With well-defined exceptions
- > Type equivalence & subtyping
	- When are two types equivalent?
		- What does "equivalent" mean anyway?
	- When can one type replace another? 40

# Components of a Type System

### **▶ Built-in types**

 $\triangleright$  Rules for constructing new types

- Where do we store type information?
- $\triangleright$  Rules for determining if two types are equivalent
- $\triangleright$  Rules for inferring the types of expressions

# Component: Built-in Types

#### $\triangleright$  Integer

usual operations: standard arithmetic

### Floating point

usual operations: standard arithmetic

#### Character

- character set generally ordered lexicographically
- usual operations: (lexicographic) comparisons

#### **> Boolean**

usual operations: not, and, or, xor

# Component: Type Constructors

Arrays

- array(I,T) denotes the type of an array with elements of type T, and index set I
- multidimensional arrays are just arrays where T is also an array
- operations: element access, array assignment, products
- Strings
	- bitstrings, character strings
	- operations: concatenation, lexicographic comparison
- Records (structs)
	- Groups of multiple objects of different types where the elements are given specific names.

# Component: Type Constructors

### **> Pointers**

- addresses
- operations: arithmetic, dereferencing, referencing
- issue: equivalency

#### **▶ Function types**

• A function such as "int add(real, int)" has type  $real$ int $\rightarrow$ int

# Component: Type Equivalence

- **Exame equivalence** 
	- Types are equiv only when they have the same name
- **> Structural equivalence** 
	- Types are equiv when they have the same structure
- $\triangleright$  Example
	- C uses structural equivalence for structs and name equivalence for arrays/pointers

# Component: Type Equivalence

### **► Type Coercion**

- $\bullet$  If x is float, is  $x=3$  acceptable?
	- Disallow
	- Allow and implicitly convert 3 to float
	- "Allow" but require programmer to explicitly convert 3 to float
- What should be allowed?
	- float to int?
	- int to float ?
	- What if multiple coercions are possible?
		- Consider 3 + "4" …

Formalizing Types: Rules of > We have seen two Examples of formal notation specifying parts of a compiler • Regular expressions (for the lexer)

Context-free grammars (for the parser)

 $\triangleright$  The appropriate formalism for type checking is logical rules of inference  $\vdash$  **e**<sub>1</sub> : int  $\mid$  **e**<sub>2</sub> : int

 $\mid$  **e**<sub>1</sub> < **e**<sub>2</sub> : boolean

## Semantic Analysis Summary

 $\triangleright$  Compiler must do more than recognize whether a sentence belongs to the language

- Checks of all kinds
	- undefined variables, types
	- type errors that can be caught statically

 $\triangleright$  • Store useful information for later phases • types of all expressions

# Thank you