Semantic Analysis

Semantic Analysis

Lexical analysis Detects inputs with illegal tokens e.g.: main\$ (); Syntactic analysis Detects inputs with ill-formed parse trees e.g.: missing semicolons

Semantic analysis

- Last "front end" analysis phase
- Catches all remaining errors



Beyond Syntax

What's wrong with this code?

(Note: it parses perfectly)

```
foo(int a, char * s) { ... }
int bar() {
  int f[3];
  int i, j, k;
  char *p;
  float k;
  foo(f[6], 10, j);
  break;
  i - val = 5;
  j = i + k;
  printf("%s,%s.\n",p,q);
  goto label23;
```

Beyond Syntax

What's wrong with this code?

(Note: it parses perfectly)

```
foo(int a, char * s){ ... }
int bar() {
  int f[3];
  int i, j, k;
                    f[6] will
  char *p;
                    cause a run-
                    time failure
  float k;
  foo(f[6<u></u>f, <u>10</u>, <u>j</u>);
  break;
  i - val = 5;
  j = i + k;
  printf("%s,%s.\n",p,q);
  goto label23;
```

Goals of a Semantic Analyzer

Compiler must do more than recognize whether a sentence belongs to the language...

• Find remaining errors that would make program invalid

- undefined variables, types
- type errors that can be caught statically
- Figure out useful information for later phases
 - types of all expressions
 - data layout
- Terminology
- Static checks done by the compiler
- Dynamic checks done at run time

Kinds of Checks

> Uniqueness checks

- Certain names must be unique
- Many languages require variable declarations

Flow-of-control checks

- Match control-flow operators with structures
- Example: break applies to innermost loop/switch

Type checks

Check compatibility of operators and operands

Logical checks

 Program is syntactically and semantically correct, but does not do the "correct" thing

Examples of Reported Errors

- Undeclared identifier
- Multiply declared identifier
- Index out of bounds
- Wrong number or types of args to call
- Incompatible types for operation
- Break statement outside switch/loop
- Goto with no label

Program Checking

Why do we care?

> Obvious:

- Report mistakes to programmer
- Avoid bugs: *f[6] will cause a run-time failure*
- Help programmer verify intent

> How do these checks help compilers?

- Allocate right amount of space for variables
- Select right machine operations
- Proper implementation of control structures

Can We Catch Everything? Try compiling this code: > void main() ▶ { int i=21, j=42; \geqslant printf("Hello World\n"); \triangleright printf("Hello World, N=dn''); >printf("Hello World\n", i, j); >printf("Hello World, N=%d\n"); >printf("Hello World, N=%d\n"); \geq

Inlined TypeChecker and CodeGen

You could type check and generate code as part of semantic actions:

Problems

- Difficult to read
- Difficult to maintain
- Compiler must analyze program in order parsed

Instead ... we split up tasks

Compiler 'main program'

- > void Compile() {
- AST tree = Parser(program);
- if (TypeCheck(tree))
- > IR ir =

}

 \triangleright

▶ }

GenIntermedCode(tree); EmitCode(ir);



Typical Semantic Errors

- Multiple declarations: a variable should be declared (in the same scope) at most once
- Undeclared variable: a variable should not be used before being declared
- Type mismatch: type of the LHS of an assignment should match the type of the RHS
- Wrong arguments: methods should be called with the right number and types of arguments

A Sample Semantic Analyzer

Works in two phases – traverses the AST created by the parser

- 1. For each scope in the program
 - process the declarations
 - add new entries to the symbol table and
 - report any variables that are multiply declared
 - process the statements
 - find uses of undeclared variables, and
 - update the "ID" nodes of the AST to point to the appropriate symbol-table entry.
- 2. Process all of the statements in the program again
 - use the symbol-table information to determine the type of each expression, and to find type errors.



In most languages, the same name can be declared multiple times

- if its declarations occur in different scopes, and/or
- involve different kinds of names
- Java: can use the same name for
 - a class
 - field of the class
 - a method of the class
 - a local variable of the method

```
class Test {
    int Test;
    void Test() { double Test; }
}
```

Scoping: Overloading

Java and C++ (but not in Pascal or C):

- can use the same name for more than one method
- as long as the number and/or types of parameters are unique

```
int add(int a, int b);
float add(float a, float b);
```

Scoping: General Rules

The scope rules of a language:

- Determine which declaration of a named object corresponds to each use of the object
- Scoping rules map uses of objects to their declarations
- C++ and Java use static scoping:
 - Mapping from uses to declarations at compile time
 - C++ uses the "most closely nested" rule
 - a use of variable x matches the declaration in the most closely enclosing scope
 - such that the declaration precedes the use

Scope levels

- Each function has two or more scopes:
- One for the function body
 - Sometimes parameters are separate scope!
 - (Not true in C)
- > void f(int k) { // k is a parameter
- int k = 0; // also a local variable
- > while (k) {
- int k = 1; // another local var, in a
 loop
- ≽ }
- ▶ }
- Additional scopes in the function
 - each for loop and
 - each nested block (delimited by curly braces)

Checkpoint #1

 Match each use to its declaration, or say why it is a use of an undeclared variable.

```
> int k=10, x=20;
> void foo(int k) {
      int a = x; int x = k; int b = x;
      while (...) {
         int x;
         if (x == k) {
             int k, y;
             \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x};
          }
         if (x == k) \{ int x = y; \}
      }
```

Dynamic Scoping

Not all languages use static scoping
 Lisp, APL, and Snobol use *dynamic* scoping

Dynamic scoping:

 A use of a variable that has no corresponding declaration in the same function corresponds to the declaration in the most-recently-called still active function



For example, consider the following code:

 \succ int i = 1; > void func() { cout << i << endl;</pre> ▶ } > int main () { int i = 2;>func(); \geq return 0; ▶ }

If C++ used dynamic scoping, this would print out 2, not 1

Checkpoint #2

 Assuming that dynamic scoping is used, what is output by the following program?

> void main() { int $x = 0; f1(); g(); f2(); }$

> void f1() { int x = 10; g(); }

> void f2() { int x = 20; f1(); g(); }

>void g() { print(x); }

Keeping Track Need a way to keep track of all identifier types in scope





Symbol Tables

- > Purpose:
 - keep track of names declared in the program
- Symbol table entry:
 - associates a name with a set of attributes, e.g.:
 - kind of name (variable, class, field, method, ...)
 - type (int, float, ...)
 - nesting level
 - mem location (where will it be found at runtime)
- Functions:
- Type Lookup(String id)
- Void Add(String id, Type binding)
- > Bindings: name type pairs $\{a \rightarrow string, b \rightarrow int\}$

Environments

σ0

Represents a set of mappings in the symbol table

function f(a:int, b:int, c:int) = Lookup $\sigma 1 = \sigma 0 + a \rightarrow int$ \geqslant in $\sigma 1$ (print_int(a+c); \geq let var j := a+b $\sigma^2 = \sigma^1 + j \rightarrow \text{int}$ >var a := "hello" >in print(a); print_int(j) > $\sigma 1$ end; \geqslant print_int(b) \geqslant σ0

How Symbol Tables Work (1)

int x; char y;

void p(void) { double x;

{ int y[10];

...

void q(void) { int y;

} ...

main() { char x;

....



How Symbol Tables Work (2)

int x; char y;

void p(void) { double x;

> ... { int y[10];

...

void q(void) { int y;

}

....

}

main() { char x;



How Symbol Tables Work (3)

int x; char y;

....

}

void p(void) { double x;

> ... { int y[10];

...

void q(void) { int y;

} ...

main() { char x;

....



How Symbol Tables Work (4)

int x; char y;

void p(void) { double x;

> ... { int y[10];

}

....

void q(void) { int y;

main() { char x;

....

}

....



How Symbol Tables Work (5)

int x; char y;

void p(void) { double x;

> ... { int y[10];

·... }

....

void q(void) { int y;

main() { char x;

....

}

.....



How Symbol Tables Work (6)



A Symbol Table Implementation
 > Two structures: Hash table, Scope Stack

Symbol = foo
 Hash(foo) = i
 Symbol table



Enter/Exit Scope

> We also need a stack to keep track of the "nesting level" as we traverse the tree...



Variables vs. Types

> Often, compilers maintain separate symbol tables for Types vs. Variables/Functions

Lecture Checkpoint:

- Scopes
- \succ \rightarrow Types



- What is a type?
 - The notion varies from language to language
- Consensus
 - A set of values
 - A set of operations allowed on those values
- Certain operations are legal for each type
 - It doesn't make sense to add a function pointer and an integer in C
 - It does make sense to add two integers
 - But both have the same assembly language implementation!

Type Systems

> A language's type system specifies which operations are valid for which types

 The goal of type checking is to ensure that operations are used with the correct types
 Enforces intended interpretation of values

Type systems provide a concise formalization of the semantic checking rules

Why Do We Need Type Systems?

Consider the assembly language fragment

addi \$r1, \$r2, \$r3

> What are the types of \$r1, \$r2, \$r3?

Type Checking Overview

Four kinds of languages:

- Statically typed: All or almost all checking of types is done as part of compilation
- Dynamically typed: Almost all checking of types is done as part of program execution (no compiler) as in Perl, Ruby
- Mixed Model : Java
- Untyped: No type checking (machine code)

Type Checking and Type Inference

- Type Checking is the process of verifying fully typed programs
 - Given an operation and an operand of some type, determine whether the operation is allowed
- Type Inference is the process of filling in missing type information
 - Given the type of operands, determine
 - the meaning of the operation
 - the type of the operation
 - OR, without variable declarations, infer type from the way the variable is used
- The two are different, but are often used interchangeably

Issues in Typing

Does the language have a type system?

- Untyped languages (e.g. assembly) have no type system at all
- When is typing performed?
 - Static typing: At compile time
 - Dynamic typing: At runtime
- How strictly are the rules enforced?
 - Strongly typed: No exceptions
 - Weakly typed: With well-defined exceptions
- > Type equivalence & subtyping
 - When are two types equivalent?
 - What does "equivalent" mean anyway?
 - When can one type replace another?

Components of a Type System

> Built-in types

Rules for constructing new types

- Where do we store type information?
- Rules for determining if two types are equivalent

> Rules for inferring the types of expressions

Component: Built-in Types

Integer

• usual operations: standard arithmetic

Floating point

usual operations: standard arithmetic

Character

- character set generally ordered lexicographically
- usual operations: (lexicographic) comparisons

Boolean

usual operations: not, and, or, xor

Component: Type Constructors

> Arrays

- array(I,T) denotes the type of an array with elements of type T, and index set I
- multidimensional arrays are just arrays where T is also an array
- operations: element access, array assignment, products
- Strings
 - bitstrings, character strings
 - operations: concatenation, lexicographic comparison
- Records (structs)
 - Groups of multiple objects of different types where the elements are given specific names.

Component: Type Constructors

Pointers

- addresses
- operations: arithmetic, dereferencing, referencing
- issue: equivalency

Function types

 A function such as "int add(real, int)" has type real×int→int

Component: Type Equivalence

- > Name equivalence
 - Types are equiv only when they have the same name
- Structural equivalence
 - Types are equiv when they have the same structure
- > Example
 - C uses structural equivalence for structs and name equivalence for arrays/pointers

Component: Type Equivalence

> Type Coercion

- If x is float, is x=3 acceptable?
 - Disallow
 - Allow and implicitly convert 3 to float
 - "Allow" but require programmer to explicitly convert 3 to float
- What should be allowed?
 - float to int ?
 - int to float ?
 - What if multiple coercions are possible?
 - Consider 3 + "4" ...

Formalizing Types: Rules of
 We have seen the second for the lexer
 We have seen the lexer
 Regular expressions (for the lexer)

• Context-free grammars (for the parser)

The appropriate formalism for type checking is logical rules of inference | e₁ : int | e₂ : int

 $| e_1 < e_2 : boolean$

Semantic Analysis Summary

Compiler must do more than recognize whether a sentence belongs to the language

- Checks of all kinds
 - undefined variables, types
 - type errors that can be caught statically

Store useful information for later phases
 types of all expressions

Thank you